

THE TRANSPORT OKA-GRAUERT PRINCIPLE FOR SIMPLE SURFACES

Jan Bohr

Joint work with Gabriel Paternain

ROSCOFF – CONFERENCE ON RIGIDITY,
HYPERBOLIC DYNAMICS & INVERSE PROBLEMS

7 March 2022



UNIVERSITY OF
CAMBRIDGE

Overview 1/2: Range characterisations in inverse problems

Inverse problems are typically posed in terms of a *forward operator*

$$\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}.$$

Often \mathcal{F}^{-1} is not available, so we ask for injectivity, stability, ...

... & the range:

Problem: Characterise/understand the range $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \mathcal{Y}$.

Examples:

1. HELGASON-LUDWIG (1964): \mathcal{F} = linear X-ray transform on \mathbb{R}^n // range is characterised by moment conditions;
2. PESTOV-UHLMANN (2004): \mathcal{F} = linear X-ray transform on simple surface // range is parametrised by boundary operator;
3. SHARAFUTDINOV (2011): \mathcal{F} arising from Calderón problem on disk // elements of the range are related by conjugation;
4. BURAGO-IVANOV (2014): \mathcal{F} = boundary distance map for Finsler metrics on n -ball // range is open under suitable perturbations;
5. **This talk:** \mathcal{F} = non-Abelian X-ray transform on simple surface // nonlinear version of Pestov-Uhlmann result.

Overview 2/2: Connections to complex geometry

Common theme for some of these characterisations in 2D: Based on hard **transitivity theorem** with **complex geometric interpretation**.

	Transitivity theorem	Complex geometry
Calderón problem on the disk	any g is conformally flat	Riemann mapping theorem
Linear X-ray on simple surface	\exists "scalar holomorphic integrating factors"	$H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(Z) = 0$
Non-Abelian X-ray on simple surface	\exists "matrix holomorphic integrating factors"	Transport Oka-Grauert principle: $\mathfrak{M}(Z) = 0$
	\iff transitivity of a certain group action	We introduce a novel transport twistor space Z

Structure of talk: ●●● \rightarrow ●● \rightarrow ●●●●●

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian surface with boundary ∂M . Assume that ∂M is strictly convex and that M is *non-trapping* ($\Rightarrow M \approx \text{disk}$).

On $SM = \{(x, v) \in TM : g(v, v) = 1\}$ consider the **transport equation**

$$(X + \mathbb{A})R = 0 \text{ on } SM, \tag{TE}$$

with $X = \text{geodesic vector field}$ and $\mathbb{A} \in C^\infty(SM, \mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ an *attenuation*.

Note: $R \in C^\infty(SM, \mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ solves (TE), iff \forall geodesics $\gamma: [0, \tau] \rightarrow M$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}R(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) + \mathbb{A}R(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) = 0. \tag{TE'}$$

Let $\partial_\pm SM = \{(x, v) \in SM : x \in \partial M, \pm g(v, \nu(x)) \geq 0\} = \text{influx /outflux}$.

Definition

Let $R = \text{unique solution of (TE) with } R|_{\partial_- SM} = \text{Id}$, define:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathbb{A}} = R|_{\partial_+ SM} \in C^\infty(\partial_+ SM, GL(n, \mathbb{C})) &\quad \rightsquigarrow \text{scattering data of } \mathbb{A}; \\ \mathbb{A} \mapsto C_{\mathbb{A}} &\quad \rightsquigarrow \text{non-Abelian X-ray trafo.} \end{aligned}$$

Examples:

- ▶ Scalar case ($n = 1$): $C_{\mathbb{A}} = \exp(I\mathbb{A})$, where $I =$ linear X-ray transform;
- ▶ Connections: If $\mathbb{A}(x, v) = A_x(v)$ for 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(M)$, then

$C_A =$ parallel transport of connection $d + A$ on $M \times \mathbb{C}^n$;

- ▶ Polarimetric Neutron Tomography: If $\mathbb{A}(x, v) = \Phi(x) \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$, then

$C_{\Phi} =$ spin rotation in $SO(3)$ of neutrons after traversing \vec{B} field.

Theorem (PATERNAIN-SALO-UHLMANN 2012 & 2020)

Let (M, g) be simple (i.e. ∂M strictly convex, non-trapping & no conjugate points). Suppose $\mathbb{A}(x, v) = A_x(v) + \Phi(x)$ and $\mathbb{B} = B_x(v) + \Psi(x)$ are s.th.

$$C_{\mathbb{A}} = C_{\mathbb{B}}.$$

Then there exists a gauge $\varphi \in C^\infty(M, GL(n, \mathbb{C}))$ with $\varphi = \text{Id}$ on ∂M and

$$\Phi = \varphi^{-1}\Psi\varphi, \quad A = \varphi^{-1}d\varphi + \varphi^{-1}B\varphi.$$

Theorem (B.-PATERNAIN)

Let (M, g) be a simple surface and $q \in C^\infty(\partial_+ SM, U(n))$, then TFAE:

1. $q = C_{\mathbb{A}}$ for some $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ -valued $\mathbb{A} = \Phi + A$;
2. q lies in the range of a **boundary operator**

$$P: C^\infty(\partial_+ SM, \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}) \supset D(P) \rightarrow C^\infty(\partial_+ SM, U(n)).$$

- ▶ Nonlinear version of PESTOV-UHLMANN (2004);
- ▶ P defined in terms of BIRKHOFF factorisation; *morally* its domain is

$$D(P) \approx \begin{array}{c} \text{Hermitian metrics} \\ \text{on } \partial_+ SM \times \mathbb{C}^n \end{array} \approx \begin{array}{c} \text{Radiative/dispersive} \\ \text{degrees of freedom (DOF)} \end{array};$$

- ▶ Analogy with Ward correspondence by MASON (2006):

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Solutions to} \\ \text{ASDYM} \end{array} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Solitonic} \\ \text{DOF} \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Radiative/dispersive} \\ \text{DOF} \end{array} \right\}$$

- ▶ TOG principle: \nexists nontrivial holomorphic vector bundles on Z .

Matrix holomorphic integrating factors

Any $F \in C^\infty(SM, \mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ has *vertical Fourier decomposition* $F = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_k$. We call F *fibrewise holomorphic*, iff $F_k = 0$ for $k < 0$. Define

$$\mathbb{G} = \{F \in C^\infty(SM, GL(n, \mathbb{C})) : F, F^{-1} \text{ are fibrewise holomorphic}\}.$$

Definition

A **holomorphic integrating factor** for \mathbb{A} is a solution $F \in \mathbb{G}$ to $(X + \mathbb{A})F = 0$.

- ▶ Why: Gauge respecting Fourier support & P yields only \mathbb{A}' 's with HIF;
- ▶ existence for $n = 1$ on simple surfaces due to SALO-UHLMANN (2011);
- ▶ existence for $n \geq 2$ was largely open (*weak* solutions in Euclidean setting due to NOVIKOV (2002) and ESKIN-RALSTON (2004));
- ▶ necessary condition (satisfied for $\mathbb{A} = A + \Phi$): \mathbb{A} lies in the set

$$\mathbb{U} = \{\mathbb{A} \in C^\infty(SM, \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}) : \mathbb{A}_k = 0 \text{ for } k < -1\}.$$

Theorem (B.-PATERNAIN)

Let (M, g) be simple. Then any $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{U}$ has holomorphic integrating factors.

Recall:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{G} &= \{F \in C^\infty(SM, GL(n, \mathbb{C})) : F, F^{-1} \text{ are fibrewise holomorphic}\} \\ \mathcal{U} &= \{\mathbb{A} \in C^\infty(SM, \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}) : \mathbb{A}_k = 0 \text{ for } k < -1\}\end{aligned}$$

Proof of theorem.

- ▶ \mathbb{G} is a group that acts on \mathcal{U} via $(\mathbb{A}, F) \mapsto F^{-1}(X + \mathbb{A})F$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A} \text{ admits HIF} &\iff \mathbb{A} \text{ lies on same } \mathbb{G}\text{-orbit as } 0, \\ \text{Theorem} &\iff \mathbb{G} \text{ acts transitively on } \mathcal{U};\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Key step: The derivative of $F \mapsto \mathbb{A}.F$ at Id , given by

$$T_{\text{Id}}\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}, \quad H \mapsto XH + [\mathbb{A}, H]$$

is **onto** and has a **tame right inverse**. This uses results on the attenuated X-ray transform $I_{\mathbb{A}}$ & microlocal analysis of $I_{\mathbb{A}}^* I_{\mathbb{A}}$;

- ▶ Nash-Moser IFT \implies \mathbb{G} -orbits are open \implies action is transitive. □

Note: Original motivation for matrix HIF was to prove injectivity of $I_{\mathbb{A}}$ (up to gauge); we go the other way!

We set up a correspondence for **any** orientable Riemannian surface:

$$\begin{aligned} (M, g) &\sim (\text{degenerated}) \text{ complex surface } Z; \\ \mathbb{A} &\sim \text{holomorphic vector bundle over } Z. \end{aligned}$$

Idea: Fill in the disks in SM and extend X to Cauchy-Riemann operator.

The transport twistor space

The 4-manifold $Z = \{(x, v) \in TM : g(v, v) \leq 1\}$ supports a natural complex distribution $D \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}Z$ of rank 2 that is involutive and satisfies

$$D \cap \bar{D} = \begin{cases} \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} X & \text{on } SM \\ 0 & \text{on } Z \setminus SM. \end{cases}$$

In particular, Z^{int} is a complex surface with $T^{0,1}Z^{\text{int}} = D$.

- ▶ Construction extends to other flows on SM (e.g. magnetic flows);
- ▶ Z is branched double cover of *classical twistor space* from DUBOIS-VIOLETTE (1983) and O'BRIAN-RAWNSLEY (1985).

Example: Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}$ with Euclidean metric, then

$$SM = \{(z, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z \in M, |\mu| = 1\}.$$

Write $z = x + iy$ and $\mu = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$, then

$$X = \cos \theta \cdot \partial_x + \sin \theta \cdot \partial_y = \mu \partial_z + \bar{\mu} \partial_{\bar{z}} = \bar{\mu} (\mu^2 \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}}).$$

Definition

On $Z = \{(z, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z \in M, |\mu| \leq 1\}$ we define $D \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}Z$ by

$$D = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ \mu^2 \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}}, \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \}.$$

Say $f \in C^\infty(U)$ is *holomorphic* iff $(\mu^2 \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}})f = \partial_{\bar{\mu}}f = 0$ on $U \subset Z$ open.

- ▶ $[D, D] = 0$ and $D \cap \bar{D} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} X$ for $|\mu| = 1$ are immediate;
- ▶ to incorporate different geometries/flows, replace X with $F = X + \lambda V$.
If $\mu^2 \lambda(z, \mu)$ is μ -holomorphic, then D is well defined by

$$D = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ \mu^2 \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}} + i \mu^2 \lambda \partial_{\mu}, \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \};$$

- ▶ description in isothermal coordinates, but D is defined invariantly.

Notions of complex geometry (e.g. $\bar{\partial}$ -complex, Dolbeaut cohomology, holomorphic vector bundles) are defined on Z *smooth up to the boundary*.

Let $\oplus_{k \geq k_0} \Omega_k = \{u \in C^\infty(SM) : u_k = 0 \text{ for } k < k_0\}$ and note that

$$X : \oplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega_k \rightarrow \oplus_{k \geq -1} \Omega_k.$$

Theorem (Correspondence principle A)

The twistor space of **any** Riemannian surface (M, g) satisfies

$$H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,p}(Z) \cong \begin{cases} \{u \in \oplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega_k : Xu = 0\} & p = 0, \\ \oplus_{k \geq -1} \Omega_k / X(\oplus_{k \geq -1} \Omega_k) & p = 1, \\ 0 & p \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ $p = 0$: fibrewise holomorphic first integrals;
- ▶ $p = 1$: solvability of $Xu = f$ for fibrewise holomorphic u ;
- ▶ SALO-UHLMANN (2011) \leftrightarrow if (M, g) is simple, then $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(Z) = 0$;
- ▶ trapping produces non-trivial elements in degree $p = 1$;

Assume for simplicity that $M \approx \text{disk}$, such that all vector bundles are topologically trivial.

Theorem (Correspondence principle B)

1. For any attenuation $\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists a holomorphic vector bundle $E_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow Z$ such that $H^p(Z, E_{\mathbb{A}})$ is given in terms of $(X + \mathbb{A})$;
2. any holomorphic vector bundle is isomorphic to $E_{\mathbb{A}}$ for some $\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{U}$;
3. the moduli space of holomorphic vector bundles equals

$$\mathfrak{M}(Z) \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{holomorphic rank } n \text{ vector bundles} \\ \text{on } Z, \text{ up to isomorphism} \end{array} \right\} \cong \mathcal{U}/\mathbb{G}.$$

Theorem (TOG principle, B.-PATERNAIN)

If Z is the twistor space of a simple surface (M, g) , then $\mathfrak{M}(Z) = 0$.

- Oka-Grauert principle: On a Stein manifold, the classification of holomorphic vector bundles equals that of topological vector bundles.

Cohomology computations & TOG-principle suggest the following slogan:

The twistor space of a simple surface behaves like a (contractible) Stein surface.

Question: In the simple case, is Z^{int} actually a Stein surface?

Examples:

- ▶ If $M = \mathbb{R}^2$, then there is explicit blow down map $\beta: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, s.th.

$$Z^{\text{int}} \cong \beta(Z^{\text{int}}) = \text{polydisk in } \mathbb{C}^2 \implies Z^{\text{int}} \text{ is Stein};$$

- ▶ if Z is the twistor space of a constant magnetic field on \mathbb{R}^2 , then

$$Z^{\text{int}} \setminus 0 \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{\bar{w}_1 = w_2\} \implies Z^{\text{int}} \text{ is not Stein.}$$

Thank you for your attention!

arXiv:2108.05125

✉ bohr@maths.cam.ac.uk

<https://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~jb2206>

Let $\text{Her}_+^n =$ Hermitian positive definite $n \times n$ matrices.

Theorem (Symmetric BIRKHOFF factorisation)

*For any $H \in C^\infty(SM, \text{Her}_+^n)$ there exists $F \in \mathbb{G}$ such that $H = F^*F$.*

Let $\alpha: \partial SM \rightarrow \partial SM$ be the scattering relation.

How to generate elements in the range of $C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{u}(n)) \ni \Phi \mapsto C_\Phi$:

1. Start with $w \in D(P) := C_\alpha^\infty(SM, \text{Her}_+^n)$;
2. extend to first integral $w^\sharp \in C^\infty(SM, \text{Her}_+^n)$;
3. factor as $w^\sharp = F^*F$ (unique after requiring $F_0 = \text{Id}$);
4. let $\Phi = -(XF)F^{-1} \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{u}(n))$, then

$$C_\Phi = Pw := F|_{\partial SM} \circ (F^{-1})|_{\partial SM} \circ \alpha \quad \text{on } \partial_+ SM.$$

Recall: The Cauchy Riemann equations on $Z(\mathbb{R}^2) \equiv \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{D}_\mu$ are

$$(\mu^2 \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}})f = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\bar{\mu}}f = 0.$$

The blow down map

The following map is holomorphic:

$$\beta: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2, \quad \beta(z, \mu) = (z - \mu^2 \bar{z}, \mu)$$

It has a partial inverse given by

$$\beta^{-1}(w, \mu) = \left(\frac{w}{1 + |\mu|^2} + \frac{2 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\mu}w)}{1 - |\mu|^4}, \mu \right), \quad (w, \mu) \in \beta(Z) \setminus \{|\mu| = 1\}.$$

- Original approach of ESKIN-RALSTON (2004) to obtain HIF: Use β to desingularise Z and apply the classical Oka-Grauert principle on $\beta(Z)$.